



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,287	03/03/2000	David A. Foti	04899-034001	6548
7590 12/16/2003 Kevin J. Canning, Esq. Lahive & Cockfield, LLP 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109			EXAMINER TRUONG, LECHI	
			2126	, f

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. =		C			
Office Action Summary	09/518,287	FOTI ET AL.			
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	LeChi Truong	2126			
Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	onespondence dudress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	<u>March 2000</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	l.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	_				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception		minor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	- , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ovod by the Examinor.			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , , ,			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/518,287

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1, 7, 9, 12, 18, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantin et al (Persistent object-mapping in an object-oriented environment) in view of Nec (Index implementation method for object oriented database – involves comparing value for structure type member variable to obtain size related rank for variables).

As to claim 1, Cantin teaches method signatures (the object class DOG, page 5, ln 1-25/persisten object OP, page 8, ln 1-25/selected object, page 2, ln 5-55), an object (object, page 5, ln 1-10), an object-oriented environment (Object-oriented programming, page 2, ln 1-11), a method name (the dog name, page 5, ln 1-10), data type (the instance variables "dog_type/type of persistent object, page 5, ln 1-25/a persistent identifier (PID), page 8, ln 5-25), the data types of input parameters (the object type of the destination persistent medium, page 8, ln 7-25), array-based computing environment(a DB2 persistent medium, page 8, ln 7-25), invoking the method corresponding(invoking/invoked an environment type in which said data is to be mapped, page 8, ln 30-55).

Cantin does not teach ranking the method signature as a function comparison, selecting ... the rank. However, Nec teaches value is compared for every member variable defined as this structure type, and size related rank is performed (page 4/27, right col/ page 9/27, right col), perform search processing of index component (page 12/27, right col/ page 14/27, right col), returns retrieval result (page 17/27, right col).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Nec to Cantin in order to improve the search efficiency and to reduce the search cot about a structure type member variable. Application/Control Number: 09/518,287

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 7, Cantin teaches data type of the signature (the instance variables "dog_type/ type of persistent object, page 5, ln 1-25/ a persistent identifier (PID), page 8, ln 5-25), the data type of corresponding input parameter (the object type of the destination persistent medium, page 8, ln 7-25), object-oriented environment (object-oriented system, page 2, ln 55-58).

As to claim 9, Cantin teaches the input parameters (data structure, page 9, ln 5-15), data type (environment type, page 9, ln 5-15), the object-oriented environment (object, page 9, ln 5-5), computer environment (persistent medium, page 6, ln 5-15).

As to computer program of claim 12, see the rejection of claim1.

As to computer program of claim 18, see the rejection of claim 7.

As to claim 23, Cantin teaches an interface (OPSS, page 2, ln 5-30), identifying (Persistent Id, page 2, ln 5-30), the object-oriented environment (object-oriented programming, page 2, ln 5-30), a technical computing environment method (PDS, page 2, ln 15-50/ page 15-25), a calculation workspace (the schemamapper, page 2, ln 37-54/ page 8, line 5-25), a command interpreter (an interpreter, page 2, ln 36-58), a signature selector (target selection, page 2, ln 36-58) an object (object, page 5, ln 1-10), an object-oriented environment (Object-oriented programming, page 2, ln 1-11), reference to a method (data, a target, page 2, ln 36-58, the instance variables "dog_type/ type of persistent object, page 5, ln 1-25/ a persistent identifier (PID), page 8, ln 5-25), an object (a selected object, page 2, ln 37-57), invoking the method

Art Unit: 2126

corresponding(invoking/ invoked an environment type in which said data is to be mapped, page 8, ln 30-55).

Cantin does not teach ranking the method signature as a function, selecting ... the rank. However, Nec teaches value is compared for every member variable defined as this structure type, and size related rank is performed (page 4/27, right col/ page 9/27, right col), perform search processing of index component (page 12/27, right col/ page 14/27, right col), returns retrieval result (page 17/27, right col).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Nec to Cantin in order to improve the search efficiency and to reduce the search cot about a structure type member variable.

2. Claims 3-6, 8, 14-17, 19, 25-29, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantin et al (Persistent object-mapping in an object-oriented environment) in view of Nec (Index implementation method for object oriented database – involves comparing value for structure type member variable to obtain size related rank for variables) and Hartmut Poglheim (Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithm Toolbox for use with Matlab).

As to claim 3, Cantin does not teach calculating fitness ranking. However, Poglheim teach the fitness value for an individual is calculated (section Rank-based fitness assignment).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantin in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to claim 4, Cantin does not teach a preference value, the corresponding signature as a function. However, Poglheim teaches object value fitness value (Section 3.1 Rank-based fitness assignment/ Section 6.3, 6.4).

Application/Control Number: 09/518,287

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantin in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to claim 5, Cantin does not teach supper classes, calculation the fitness ranking, calculating difference in level within class. However, Poglheim teaches derived from the objective function (Fitness values, section 6.3), the fitness assigned to each individual depends only on its position (Rank-based fitness assignments, section 3.1).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantin in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to claim 6, Cantin does not teach calculating a difference in a number of dimensions. However. Poglheim teaches the number of individual in the population is used for calculation (section 3.1).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantin in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to claim 8, Cantin does not teach a two-dimensional array storing. However, Polemic teaches table 1: Dependency of fitness value from selective pressure (section 3,1).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantin in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to computer program of claim 14, see the rejection of claim 3.

As to computer program of claim 15, see the rejection of claim 4.

As to computer program of claim 16, see the rejection of claim 5.

As to computer program of claim 17, see the rejection of claim 6.

As to computer program of claim 19, see the rejection of claim 8.

As to the system of claim 25, see the rejection of claim 3.

As to the system of claim 26, Cantin teaches data type listed by the signature (the instance variables "dog type/ type of persistent object, page 5, ln 1-25/ a persistent identifier (PID), page 8, ln 5-25), data types of input parameters (the object type of the destination persistent medium, page 8, ln 7-25), array-based computing environment (a DB2 persistent medium, page 8, ln 7-25),

Cantine does not teach the fitness ranking, the corresponding signature as a function. However, Poglheim teaches object value fitness value (Section 3.1 Rank-based fitness assignment/ Section 6.3, 6.4).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantine in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to the system of claim 27, see the rejection of claim 5.

As to the system of claim 28, see the rejection of claim 6.

Cantin does not teach a preference value. However, Poglheim teaches object value fitness value (Section 3.1 Rank-based fitness assignment/ Section 6.3, 6.4).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Poglheim to Cantin in order to sort and to select the method signatures that are based on the selection probability.

As to the system of claim 29, see the rejection of claim 8.

As to the system of claim 34, see the rejection of claim 6.

3. Claims 2, 13, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantin et al (Persistent object-mapping in an object-oriented environment) Nec (Index implementation method for object oriented database – involves comparing value for structure

Art Unit: 2126

type member variable to obtain size related rank for variables) and further in view of Admitted Prior Art (APA).

As to claim 2, Cantin does not teach a mathematical tool (Malab software program, col 15, ln 66 to col 16, ln 1-40). However, APA teaches conventional mathematical tools (page 1, ln 5-28).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of APA to Cantin in order to provide a comprehensive technical computing environment for performing numerical linear algebraic calculations.

As to computer program of claim 13, see the rejection of claim 2.

As to the system of claim 24, see the rejection of claim 2.

4. Claims 10, 11, 20, 21, 22, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantin et al (Persistent object-mapping in an object-oriented environment)

Nec (Index implementation method for object oriented database – involves comparing value for structure type member variable to obtain size related rank for variables) in view of Hartmut

Poglheim (Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithm Toolbox for use with Matlab) and further in view of Bill Venners (Eternal Math).

As to claims 10, 11, Cantina teaches interpreting the method (an interpreter, page 2, ln 40-45).

Cantin does not teach the object-oriented environment include java virtual machine. However, Venners teaches java virtual machine (page 1-2).

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Venners to Cantin in order to make system for accessing externally defined objects from an array based mathematical computing environment more consistent.

As to computer program of claim 20, see the rejection of claim 9.

As to computer program of claim 21, see the rejection of claim 10.

As to computer program of claim 22, see the rejection of claim 11.

As to the system of claim 31, see the rejection of claim 10.

As to the system of claim 32, see the rejection of claim 11.

5. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantin et al (Persistent object-mapping in an object-oriented environment) in view Nec (Index implementation method for object oriented database – involves comparing value for structure type member variable to obtain size related rank for variables) and further in John W. Eaton (A High-level Interactive Language for Numerical Computations Edition 3 for Octave Version 2.1.x)

As to the system of claim 30, see the rejection of claim 9. Further, Cantin does not teach conventional table for convert. However, Eaton teaches table of input conversions (page 18 of 23).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of DV to Cantin in order to summarize what all the different conversion do.

6. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantin et al (Persistent object-mapping in an object-oriented environment) in view of Nec (Index implementation method for object oriented database – involves comparing value for structure

Page 9

type member variable to obtain size related rank for variables) further in view of David M. Gay (Symbolic-Algebraic Computations in a Modeling Language for Mathematical Programming).

As to claim 33, Cantin does not teach a Java Native Interface. However, Gay teaches the java Native Interface (Page 7, ln 17-20)

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Gay to Cantine in order to call function written in another language.

7. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (703) 305 5312. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

Fax phone: AFTER_FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to: (703) 746-2738, OFFICAL faxes must be signed and send to: (703) 746-7239, NON OFFICIAL faxes should not be signed, please send to: (703) 746-7240

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 9000.

LeChi Truong December 10, 2003

JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100